

MAYOR & COUNCIL AGENDA COVER SHEET

MEETING DATE:

December 1, 2008

CALL TO PODIUM:

Greg Ossont, Director Planning & Code Administration

RESPONSIBLE STAFF:

Greg Ossont, Director Planning & Code Administration

AGENDA ITEM:

(please check one)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Presentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proclamation/Certificate
<input type="checkbox"/>	Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Joint Public Hearing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Historic District
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Item
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ordinance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Resolution
<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy Discussion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Work Session Discussion Item
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:

PUBLIC HEARING HISTORY:

(Please complete this section if agenda item is a public hearing)

Introduced	NA
Advertised	11/12/2008
	11/19/2008
Hearing Date	12/01/2008
Record Held Open	
Policy Discussion	

TITLE: Text Amendment T-388

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING

An Ordinance to Amend Chapter 24 of the City Code, Entitled "Zoning," Article IX, Entitled, "Signs," § 24-211, Entitled, "Signs Permitted in all Zones," Subsection (e), Entitled, "Election Campaign and Ballot Questions Signs," to Eliminate Conflicting Provisions and Renumber Accordingly

SUPPORTING BACKGROUND:

Staff has received correspondence from the American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland (ACLUM). In summary, the ACLUM has provided case law from the City of Baltimore and Prince George's County asserting that the time limit restrictions regarding Election Campaign And Ballot Questions signs found the City's zoning ordinance are unconstitutional.

The information provided by ACLUM has been reviewed by the City Attorney and Ms. Board concurs with their assessment. As such, a stay of enforcement of the applicable section in question has been issued and staff is presenting a draft text amendment to modify § 24-211(e) by removing subsections (2) and (3) that are deemed unconstitutional and renumbering accordingly.

*Attachments:
Index of Memoranda and Exhibits*

DESIRED OUTCOME:

Conduct Public Hearing

Staff recommends the Planning Commission to hold the record open until 5PM on December 29, 2008 with anticipated recommendation on January 7, 2009.

Staff recommends the Mayor and City Council hold the record open until 5PM January 8, 2009 with anticipated policy discussion January 20, 2009.

**Joint Public Hearing
M&CC and PC
December 1, 2008**

**INDEX OF MEMORANDA
T-388**

1. Application
2. Draft T-388 Ordinance
3. Background Material from the American Civil Liberties Union
4. Letter to *Gaithersburg Gazette* dated November 6, 2008, requesting a Legal Ad for November 12 and 19, 2008, issues
5. Legal Ads, as published in the November 12 and 19, 2008 issues of the *Gaithersburg Gazette*
6. Notice of Joint Public Hearing, sent November 14, 2008
7. Mailing List of JPH Notices

TEXT AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE

In accordance with Article VIII,
Section 24-194, 195, 197, and 198 of the City Code

Application Number	T-388
Filing Date	11/06/2008
P.C. Hearing	12/01/2008
M&C Hearing	12/01/2008
Decision	_____
Decision Date	_____

Application is hereby made to the

- City Planning Commission, or
- Mayor and City Council

for a change in the text of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Gaithersburg, Maryland.

This change involves Article IX "Signs", Section 24-211(e)
to be amended per the attached ordinance.

Applicant/Staff Person Director Greg Ossont on behalf of the Planning Commission Date Nov. 6. 2008

Address _____

JOINT EXHIBIT
<u>1</u>
T-388

Ordinance No. T-388

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 24 OF THE CITY CODE, ENTITLED "ZONING," ARTICLE IX, ENTITLED, "SIGNS," § 24-211, ENTITLED, "SIGNS PERMITTED IN ALL ZONES," SUBSECTION (e), ENTITLED, "ELECTION CAMPAIGN AND BALLOT QUESTIONS SIGNS," TO ELIMINATE CONFLICTING PROVISIONS AND RENUMBER ACCORDINGLY

Text Amendment **T-388**

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Gaithersburg, in public meeting assembled, that Chapter 24 of the City Code, Article IX, is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IX. SIGNS

* * * * *

Sec. 24-211. Signs permitted in all zones

* * * * *

(e) *Election campaign and ballot questions signs:*

* * * * *

(2) ~~These signs may be displayed thirty (30) days prior to and seven (7) days after the election for which intended.~~

(3) ~~In cases where a final election follows within seventy-five (75) days of a primary election, those candidates who won in the primary election or those running unopposed may continue to display their signs during the interim period and up to seven (7) days after the final election.~~

(4)(2) * * * * *

(5)(3) * * * * *

Boldface	<i>Heading or defined term.</i>
<u>Underlining</u>	<i>Added to existing law by original bill.</i>
Single strikethrough	<i>Deleted from existing law by original bill.</i>
<u>Double underlining</u>	<i>Added by Amendment.</i>
Double boldface-strikethrough	<i>Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.</i>
***	<i>Existing law unaffected by bill.</i>

ADOPTED this ____ day of _____, 2008, by the City Council of Gaithersburg, Maryland.

SIDNEY A. KATZ, MAYOR and
President of the Council

DELIVERED to the Mayor of the City of Gaithersburg, Maryland, this ____ day of _____, 2008. APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of Gaithersburg, this ____ day of _____ 2008.

Sidney A. Katz, Mayor

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing ordinance as adopted by the City Council of Gaithersburg, in public meeting assembled, on the ____ day of _____, 2008, and that the same was approved/vetoed by the Mayor of the City of Gaithersburg on the ____ day of _____, 2008. This Ordinance will become effective on the ____ day of _____, 2008.

Angel L. Jones, City Manager

Boldface	<i>Heading or defined term.</i>
<u>Underlining</u>	<i>Added to existing law by original bill.</i>
Single strikethrough	<i>Deleted from existing law by original bill.</i>
<u>Double underlining</u>	<i>Added by Amendment.</i>
Double boldface strikethrough	<i>Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.</i>
***	<i>Existing law unaffected by bill.</i>

M&CC,

Staff is preparing a response.
JDA



Distribution M&C: 6/9/08 47, GO, KR, SF

DEBORAH A. JEON
LEGAL DIRECTOR

June 3, 2008

Mayor Sidney Katz
City Hall
31 South Summit Avenue
Gaithersburg, MD 20877

Dear Mayor Katz:

AMERICAN CIVIL
LIBERTIES UNION OF
MARYLAND FOUNDATION
3600 CLIPPER MILL ROAD
SUITE 350
BALTIMORE, MD 21211
T-410-889-8555
F-410-366-7838
WWW.ACLU-MD.ORG

As we head toward the 2008 general election, we write on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland to call your attention to a recent federal district court decision finding unconstitutional a Baltimore County ordinance regulating political yard signs. *Bell v. Baltimore County*, 2008 WL 1953475 (D.Md. Mar. 31, 2008). Gaithersburg has a similar provision, Chapter 24, Article IX, Sec. 24-211 of the Code of City of Gaithersburg, which we understand to be your current law.¹ Like the Baltimore County law, the Gaithersburg law restricts the length of time political lawn signs may be displayed by residents on their private property.

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
SARA N. LOVE
PRESIDENT

SUSAN GOERING
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

C. CHRISTOPHER BROWN
GENERAL COUNSEL

Specifically, your ordinance provides that election campaign and ballot questions signs “may be displayed thirty (30) days prior to and seven (7) days after the election for which intended” and “In cases where a final election follows within seventy-five (75) days of a primary election, those candidates who won in the primary election or those running unopposed may continue to display their signs during the interim period and up to seven (7) days after the final election.” Gaithersburg, MD, City Code, ch. 24, art. IX, §24-211.

The United States District Court for the District of Maryland repeatedly has found similarly-worded provisions to violate the First Amendment, including the recently invalidated Baltimore County law. That law banned residents from posting political campaign signs on their private property beyond a limited window of time surrounding the election. Judge Catherine Blake found the regulation to be an “unconstitutional durational limit on political residential signs.” *Bell v. Baltimore County*, 2008 WL 1953475 at *1 (D.Md. Mar. 31, 2008). Judge Blake noted that many courts have recognized “the importance of official campaign signs and the message they provide” as a form of protected speech. *Id.* at *2. This type of regulation is not narrowly tailored when it specifically restricts campaign signs. *Id.* Enclosed is a copy of Judge Blake’s decision.

The *Bell* ruling followed a 1999 federal district court ruling that struck down a similar provision of the Prince George’s County Code. *Curry v. Prince George’s County*, 33 F. Supp. 2d 447, 448 (D. Md. 1999). There, Judge Peter J. Messitte held that the Prince George’s ordinance unconstitutionally infringed First Amendment rights “insofar as it impose[d] durational limitations on the posting of political campaign signs by individuals at their private residences.” *Id.* at 448. The court found that, while valid regulations for

¹ Please advise us if this understanding is incorrect.

private, residential property "may include size, shape and location restrictions upon campaign signs, they may not include durational ones." *Id.* at 454-55.

A restriction on the amount of time a political campaign sign may be displayed on private property restricts the First Amendment rights of both the resident and the candidate. *Curry*, 33 F. Supp. 2d at 449 n. 3. The Supreme Court said in *City of Ladue v. Gilleo*, "[d]isplaying a sign from one's own residence often carries a message quite distinct from placing the same sign someplace else, or conveying the same text or picture by other means. Precisely because of their location, such signs provide information about the identity of the 'speaker.'" 512 U.S. 43, 56 (1994).

Like the sign ordinances the court has found unconstitutional in Prince George's and Baltimore Counties, the Gaithersburg ordinance restricts the amount of time residential property owners may display political signs, and thereby unconstitutionally restricts the First Amendment rights of both residents and candidates.

We realize that some laws of questionable constitutionality remain on the books long after enforcement ceases. Perhaps this is the case with this regulation. For this reason, we request that you let us know whether Gaithersburg enforces this law. If so, kindly tell us the details of each enforcement over the past three years.

Even if you are not actively enforcing your sign law, the continued retention of a law that unconstitutionally limits speech has a chilling effect upon residents. Accordingly, we urge you to consider repeal the portions of your sign ordinance that offend the Constitution.

We would appreciate it if you or your attorney would contact one of us after you have a chance to review this request to advise us of the city's thoughts about the matter.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Deborah A. Jeon
Legal Director



Peter Montefusco
Law Clerk

Enclosure

--- F.Supp.2d ----, 2008 WL 1953475 (D.Md.)

Motions, Pleadings and Filings

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court,
D. Maryland.
Clarence BELL, et al.
v.
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND.
Civil No. CCB-07-305.
March 31, 2008.

Deborah A. Jeon, American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland Foundation, Baltimore, MD, Kathleen Adele Orr, Russell D. Duncan, Zeno B. Baucus, Orrick Herrington and Sutcliffe LLP, Washington, DC, for Clarence Bell, Kathleen Cahill, Joseph Michael Collins, Sr., Ruth Goldstein, Noel Levy, Homayoon Moghbeli, Manzar Moghbeli, Patricia Visser.

James J. Nolan, Jr., Baltimore County Office of Law, Towson, MD, for Baltimore County, Maryland.

MEMORANDUM

CATHERINE C. BLAKE, District Judge.

*1 Now pending is the plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees and costs in this case involving a challenge to a Baltimore County zoning ordinance restricting the posting of certain political lawn signs. For the reasons that follow, fees in the amount of \$67,789.50 and expenses in the amount of \$1,742.17 will be awarded.

Preliminarily, it appears the parties agree on the standards for determining a reasonable fee award to a prevailing party under 42 U.S.C. § 1988. As summarized by this court:

The Supreme Court has held that "the proper first step in determining a reasonable attorney's fee is to multiply 'the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation times a reasonable hourly rate.'" The resulting product is commonly known as the lodestar award. The Supreme Court has noted that most of the factors articulated by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, Inc., 488 F.2d 714 (5th Cir.1974), are subsumed in the initial calculation of the "lodestar" award. See Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. at 424, 434 n. 9, 103 S.Ct. 1933, 1940 n. 9, 76 L.Ed.2d 40 (1983). Those factors have been adopted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. Consideration of those factors in arriving at the reasonable rate and reasonable number of hours expended ordinarily will produce a lodestar figure that results in fair compensation without further adjustment.

McCollum v. McDaniel, 136 F.Supp.2d 472, 478-79 (D.Md.2001).

See, e.g., *Whitton v. City of Gladstone*, 54 F.3d 1400, 1408 (8th Cir.1995); *McFadden*, 422 F.Supp.2d at 675; *Curry*, 33 F.Supp.2d at 455; *Dimas v. City of Warren*, 939 F.Supp. 554, 558 (E.D.Mich.1996).

Accordingly, on July 31, 2007, I entered an Order denying the County's motion for summary judgment, declaring the regulation unconstitutional, and enjoining its enforcement. No appeal was taken and this petition for attorneys' fees followed.

I will first address the reasonableness of the hourly rates requested by plaintiffs' counsel. The plaintiffs request \$400 per hour for Russell Duncan, a partner at Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, with over 20 years' experience in general litigation; \$350 per hour for Deborah Jeon, ACLU Legal Director with over 20 years' experience in civil rights litigation; and amounts from \$155 to \$225 per hour for the three associates whose time is claimed on the case.^{FN3} The County challenges only the rates for Ms. Jeon and Mr. Duncan, and does so only by reference to the presumptive rates set in this court's Rules and Guidelines for Determining Attorney's Fees before their upward adjustment became effective in January 2008. *See* Local Rules, App. B (D.Md.). Considering the affidavits submitted in support of the plaintiffs' counsel's hourly rates, however, and the opinion of Judge J. Frederick Motz in *Williams v. Maryland Office Relocators*, No. 05-3030 (D.Md. June 18, 2007), I will apply the \$360 hourly rate used in that case for an experienced Maryland attorney to Mr. Duncan's hours, and the \$350 hourly rate claimed by Ms. Jeon to her hours.^{FN4}

*3 In considering the hours to award for each litigation stage, I have considered all the *Johnson* factors, most of which are subsumed in the rate and hour analysis. This includes the excellent results obtained by plaintiffs, and awards in similar cases, *see Ballen v. City of Redmond*, 466 F.3d 736, 746 (9th Cir.2006) (over \$165,000); *Sugarman v. Village of Chester*, 213 F.Supp.2d 304 (S.D.N.Y.2002) (over \$75,000). I note also that the County requested an award of over \$36,000 in fees, at 1999 rates, for approximately 190 hours of work on a motion to dismiss, *see Greenspring Racquet Club, Inc. v. Baltimore County*, 77 F.Supp.2d 699, 703-05 (D.Md.1999).

I also recognize that cases such as these in part provide a training opportunity and a sought-after pro bono experience for associates at large law firms. Orrick recognizes this as well, and the plaintiffs have exercised billing judgment by not charging for several attorneys and paralegals at all and by reducing the hours claimed for those whose time is charged. Obviously, the County should not pay for work beyond that necessary to responsibly represent the plaintiffs.

With all this in mind, my award by litigation phase follows:

Case	Attorney	Hours	Award
Development	Jeon	13.2 x 350	\$ 4,620
Pleadings			

Duncan	12.0	\$ 4,320
	x 360	
Jeon	8.0 x	\$ 2,800
	350	
Orr	35.0	\$ 7,875
	x 225	
<hr/>		
Total	55	\$14,995
	hours	

Motions

Duncan	12.0	\$ 4,320
	x 360	
Orr	22.0	\$ 4,950
	x 225	
Baucus	15.0	\$ 2,550
	x 170	
Showalter	15.0	\$ 2,325
	x 155	
<hr/>		
Total	64	\$14,145
	hours	

Hearings

Duncan	7.0 x	\$ 2,520
	360	
Baucus	25.0	\$ 4,250
	x 170	
Showalter	10.0	\$ 1,550
	x 155	
<hr/>		
Total	42	\$ 8,320
	hours	

Settlement

Jeon	23.1	\$ 8,085
	x 350	

Fee Petition
(including
Reply)

Jeon	40.0	\$14,000
	x 350	
Duncan	1.5 x	\$ 540
	360	
Showalter	19.9	\$ 3,084.50
	x 155	
<hr/>		
Total	61.4	\$17,624.50
	hours	

Before applying the factors, it is appropriate to describe briefly the events and issues involved in this case. After efforts to forestall passage of the ordinance were unsuccessful, the plaintiffs filed suit on February 2, 2007 seeking a declaration that Zoning Regulation 450.7.F as amended by the County Council in December 2006 violated the First Amendment by providing that a political campaign sign required to carry an authority line on behalf of a candidate or a political issue could be displayed on private property no earlier than 45 days before any primary and must be removed 7 days after the primary for an unsuccessful candidate. Cross-motions for summary judgment were filed and oral argument was heard July 20, 2007. For reasons more fully explained in the transcript of the oral ruling, I found the regulation to be an unconstitutional durational limit on political residential signs, noting the significant protection provided such speech in City of Ladue v. Gilleo, 512 U.S. 43, 54-57, 114 S.Ct. 2038, 129 L.Ed.2d 36 (1994).

At oral argument and in their papers, both sides acknowledged that the regulation potentially burdens the First Amendment rights of citizens—those homeowners or residents who wished to display the signs—and also of political candidates, see Arlington County Republican Comm. v. Arlington County, 983 F.2d 587, 595 (4th Cir.1993), and that political speech is entitled to the highest degree of protection under the First Amendment, *id.* at 593. Also there was no dispute that, if the regulation is content-based, it must be narrowly tailored to promote a compelling governmental interest, and if there is a less restrictive alternative that would serve that purpose, the alternative must be used. Burson v. Freeman, 504 U.S. 191, 197-98, 112 S.Ct. 1846, 119 L.Ed.2d 5 (1992); Curry v. Prince George's County, 33 F.Supp.2d 447, 452 (D.Md.1999). The County conceded that its asserted justification of traffic safety and aesthetics would not survive a content-based standard, but argued the regulation was content-neutral.^{FN1}

*2 It appeared to me that the regulation was content-based, as it applied only to certain types of political signs, and indeed most courts considering the issue have reached this conclusion. See McFadden v. City of Bridgeport, 422 F.Supp.2d 659, 671-74 (N.D.W.Va.2006) (discussing cases); Curry, 33 F.Supp.2d at 452 (collecting cases).^{FN2} Recognizing that Baltimore County did not direct the regulation at any particular political party or viewpoint, however, see Covenant Media v. City of North Charleston, 413 F.3d 421, 432-34 (4th Cir.2007), I acknowledged the question was at least debatable and proceeded to rule on the assumption the regulation was content-neutral, as did the Court in City of Ladue and the Fourth Circuit in Arlington County. If the regulation is content-neutral, it still must be narrowly tailored to serve a significant governmental interest and has to leave open ample alternatives for communication. Burson, 504 U.S. at 197, Arlington County, 983 F.2d at 593. While traffic safety and visual clutter are significant governmental concerns, Regulation 450.7.F was not narrowly tailored to accomplish those objectives. For example, it left many signs unregulated rather than applying setbacks or size restrictions to all signs, or simply requiring any sign that had fallen into disrepair to be removed. See, e.g., McFadden, 422 F.Supp.2d at 675 (applying strict scrutiny). Most significantly, the importance of official campaign signs and the message they provide both visually and as a direct form of speech has been recognized by many courts. It is difficult to identify a sufficient alternative. The County cited no case after the Court's ruling in City of Ladue that has upheld durational limits on political yard signs, while several courts have found such limits unconstitutional.

This is a total of 258.70 hours allowed for all phases, including the time-consuming work of fee preparation, and a total award of \$67,789.50 in fees. Expenses in the amount of \$1,742.17 are not challenged and also will be awarded.

A separate Order follows.

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. the Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (docket entry no. 25) is **Granted**; and

2. the plaintiffs are awarded **\$67,789.50** in fees and **\$1,742.17** in costs for a total of **\$69,531.67** to be paid by defendant Baltimore County.

FN1. The court appreciates James T. Nolan, Jr., Esquire's able and professional representation of the County at the hearing.

FN2. Judge Murnaghan of the Fourth Circuit found a very similar regulation to be content-based in an unreported Order granting a preliminary injunction against the County in 1998. *Taylor v. Baltimore County*, No. 98-2090 (4th Cir. July 28, 1998).

FN3. Work done by several other attorneys and paralegals was not claimed.

FN4. The court notes and appreciates the excellent representation provided by both the ACLU and Orrick.
D.Md.,2008.


Bell v. Baltimore County, MD

--- F.Supp.2d ----, 2008 WL 1953475 (D.Md.)

Motions, Pleadings and Filings ([Back to top](#))

- [2007 WL 4578689](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Baltimore County's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment (Jun. 27, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4578688](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Plaintiffs' Opposition to Baltimore County's Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Jun. 18, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4578686](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment (Apr. 16, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4578685](#) (Trial Pleading) Defendant's Answer to Complaint (Mar. 13, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4578684](#) (Trial Pleading) Verified Complaint (Feb. 2, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4578687](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Their Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Feb. 2, 2007)
- [1:07cv00305](#) (Docket) (Feb. 2, 2007)

END OF DOCUMENT



November 6, 2008

Bonnie Geiger
Law Section
The Gazette Newspaper
2-A North Market Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Ms. Geiger:

Please publish the following legal advertisement in the **November 12 and November 19, 2008**, issues of the *Gaithersburg Gazette*.

Sincerely,

Greg Ossont, Director
Planning and Code Administration

ASSIGN CODE: T-388 Acct# 133649

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Mayor and Council and Planning Commission of the City of Gaithersburg will conduct a joint public hearing on T-388, filed by Greg Ossont on behalf of the Planning Commission, on

**MONDAY
DECEMBER 1, 2008
AT 7:30 P.M.**

or as soon thereafter as this matter can be heard in the Council Chambers at 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The application requests to amend Chapter 24 of the City Code (City Zoning Ordinance), entitled, "Zoning," Article IX, entitled, "Signs," § 24-211 entitled, "Signs Permitted In All Zones," subsection (e), entitled, "Election Campaign And Ballot Questions Signs," to eliminate conflicting provisions and renumber accordingly.

Further information may be obtained from the Department of Planning and Code Administration at City Hall, 31 South Summit Avenue, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, or visit the City's website at www.gaithersburgmd.gov.

Greg Ossont, Director
Planning and Code Administration
GO/RR/mg

City of Gaithersburg • 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-2098
301-258-6300 • FAX 301-258-6149 • TTY 301-258-6430 • cityhall@gaitersburgmd.gov • www.gaithersburgmd.gov

MAYOR
Sidney A. Katz

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS
Jud Ashman
Cathy C. Drzyzgula
Henry F. Marraffa, Jr.
Michael A. Sesma
Ryan Spiegel

CITY MANAGER
Angel L. Jones

JOINT EXHIBIT
4
T-388

Notice of Public Hearing

The Mayor and Council and Planning Commission of the City of Gaithersburg will conduct a joint public hearing on T-388, filed by Greg Ossont on behalf of the Planning Commission, on

**MONDAY
DECEMBER 1, 2008
AT 7:30 P.M.**

or as soon thereafter as this matter can be heard in the Council Chambers at 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland

The application requests to amend Chapter 24 of the City Code (City Zoning Ordinance), entitled, "Zoning," Article IX, entitled, "Signs," § 24-211 entitled, "Signs Permitted In All Zones," subsection (e), entitled, "Election Campaign And Ballot Questions Signs," to eliminate conflicting provisions and renumber accordingly.

Further information may be obtained from the Department of Planning and Code Administration at City Hall, 31 South Summit Avenue, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, or visit the City's website at www.gaithersburgmd.gov

Greg Ossont, Director
Planning and Code Administration
GO/RR/mg

1651562

Notice of Public Hearing

The Mayor and Council and Planning Commission of the City of Gaithersburg will conduct a joint public hearing on T-388, filed by Greg Ossont on behalf of the Planning Commission, on

**MONDAY
DECEMBER 1, 2008
AT 7:30 P.M.**

or as soon thereafter as this matter can be heard in the Council Chambers at 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland

The application requests to amend Chapter 24 of the City Code (City Zoning Ordinance), entitled, "Zoning," Article IX, entitled, "Signs," § 24-211 entitled, "Signs Permitted In All Zones," subsection (e), entitled, "Election Campaign And Ballot Questions Signs," to eliminate conflicting provisions and renumber accordingly.

Further information may be obtained from the Department of Planning and Code Administration at City Hall, 31 South Summit Avenue, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, or visit the City's website at www.gaithersburgmd.gov

Greg Ossont, Director
Planning and Code Administration
GO/RR/mg

1651562

JOINT EXHIBIT

5

T-388



CITY OF GAITHERSBURG
31 South Summit Avenue
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877
Telephone: 301-258-6330

NOTICE OF JOINT PUBLIC HEARING

The City of Gaithersburg Mayor and Council and Planning Commission will conduct a public hearing at the time and place noted below.

Meeting: **MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL**
Application Type: **TEXT AMENDMENT**
File Number: **T-388**
Applicant: **GREG OSSONT FOR PLANNING COMMISSION**
Day/ Date/Time: **MONDAY DECEMBER 1, 2008, AT 7:30 P.M.**
Place: **COUNCIL CHAMBERS, GAITHERSBURG CITY HALL
31 SOUTH SUMMIT AVENUE**

*****IMPORTANT *****

This is an ordinance to amend Chapter 24 of the City Code, entitled "Zoning," Article IX, entitled, "Signs," § 24-211 entitled, "Signs Permitted In All Zones," subsection (e), entitled, "Election Campaign And Ballot Questions Signs," to eliminate conflicting provisions and renumber accordingly. (A copy of the draft ordinance can be viewed at www.gaithersburgmd.gov.) This is an opportunity to publicly participate, other than providing written testimony that must be submitted before the public hearing record closes. Contact the Planning and Code Administration City Planner (listed below) at (301) 258-6330 if you should have any questions and/or to learn more about this process and your ability to offer testimony and input.

Joint Mayor and City Council & Planning Commission meetings can be viewed live on Gaithersburg Cable Television Channel 13, and at anytime (on demand) two weeks after the public hearing via the Internet and Web TV at <http://www.gaithersburgmd.gov>.

CITY OF GAITHERSBURG

By: _____
Greg Ossont, Director
Planning and Code Administration

JOINT EXHIBIT

6

T-388

NOTICES SENT THIS 14th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2008, TO:

APPLICANT AND INTERESTED PARTIES

(A list of interested parties and agencies is available in the file in the Planning and Code Administration.)

MAYOR AND COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMISSION

CITY STAFF

Angel L. Jones, City Manager

Frederick J. Felton, Assistant City Manager

Tony Tomasello, Assistant City Manager

Lynn Board, City Attorney

Britta Monaco, Public Information Director

Doris Stokes, Administrative Assistant

Jeff Baldwin, City Web Administrator (via email)

Neil Harris
KENTLANDS CITIZENS ASSEMBLY
349 MAIN ST.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Jon May
ROSEMONT CITIZENS ASSN
8940 EDGEWOOD DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Rich Brancato
LAKELANDS MAIN ST. CONDOS
647-A MAIN ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Clyde Bartz
GREENS OF WARTHER
150 CROSSBOW LANE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

James Crank
PARK SUMMIT CONDO
303 PALMSRING DR #11
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Perlita Powers
NO. 8 RUSSELL AVE CONDO
8 RUSSELL AVE #302
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Jan Erickson
BENNINGTON COMM. ASSN
29 GOODPORT LANE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Mark Hackman
SHADY GROVE III COMM ASSN
64 APPLESEED LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Ron Heckman
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC I
18 MICHAEL CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Jed Ivory
FIRESIDE CONDO
110 DUVALL LN #101
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Tom Armstrong
JOAN'S HILL
108 LONGDRAFT RD
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Andrew Bove
BRIGHTON HOA
157 BRALAN LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Troy Carbaugh
VILLAGE OVERLOOK IIB
435 CHRISTOPHER AVE #22
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Cynthia Changuris
VILLA RIDGE CONDOMINIUM
414 GIRARD ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Michelle Maher
SHADY GROVE VIL COMM COUNCIL CORP
138 GOLD KETTLE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Stuart Dross
MUDDY BRANCH HOA
326 ALDERWOOD DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Stacey Portis
KENTLANDS VIEW CONDO INC
415 RIDGEPOINT PL
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Steve Antolick
CROSS GREEN CONDOS @ LAKELANDS
705 B MAIN ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Valerie Hermansen
AUDUBON SQUARE HOA
423 STERNWHEELER COURT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Dick Jones
VILLAGE OVERLOOK
411 CHRISTOPHER AVE #13
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Andrea Barsi
SENECA MEWS
103 TWELVE OAKS DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Luke Brami
WASHINGTONIAN WOODS
101 CANFIELD HILL DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Dia Starcher
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO III
864 WEST SIDE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Shelby Compton
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC II
60 STATE CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Catherine Dischner
TIMBERBROOK CONDO ASSN
119 TIMBERBROOK LN #103
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Jennifer Eisenfeld
PARK SUMMIT HOA
520 CORAL REEF DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Gwen Love
KENTLANDS CONDO III
130 CHEVY CHASE ST #406
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Linda Harrison
MONTGOMERY MEADOWS
1024 TRAVIS LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Alex Imirie
CAROLANN COURTS CONDO
22 TRENTO COURT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Elayne Kabakoff
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 3
311 HIGH GABLES DR #404
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

JOINT EXHIBIT

7

T-388

Ralph Kantrowitz
COPPERFIELD CROSSING II
226 KENTLANDS BLVD #102
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Nan King
BRIGHTON EAST CONDO I
514 WEST DEER PARK RD.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Rusty Drew
SHADY GROVE VILLAGE I CONDO ASSN
18 BLUE RIBBON COURT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Maggie Levy
LAKELANDS RIDGE HOA
310 HIGH GABLES DR #404
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Mary Louis
VILLAGE OVERLOOK IIA
423 CHRISTOPHER AVE #32
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Evan Meyers
AMBERFIELD HOA
613 SUFFIELD DRIVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Tom Mills
HEATHWALK CONDOS
704-A MAIN ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Jimmy Pennywell
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO IV
1018 WEST SIDE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Linda Putterman
DIAMOND FARM HOMES CORP
3 LONG MEADOW DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Richard Ryberg
FOXWOOD HOA
1 BRIARSTONE LANE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Marge Tibery
KENTLANDS CONDO V
150 CHEVY CHASE ST # 205
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Duane Kirklin
LILAC GARDENS CONDO
4787 MID COUNTY CT
MONROVIA MD 21770

Kristin Johnston
ORCHARD GLEN
115 LONGPOINT WAY
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Maggie Levy
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 4
310 HIGH GABLES DR#406
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Nina Mall
KENTLANDS RIDGE CONDO INC
152 KENDRICK PL #22
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Gloria Mike
STRATFORD MEWS CONDO
577 WEST DIAMOND AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Mike Potter
GREENS OF WARTHER
% MTM MGMT ASSOC
26223 RIDGE RD
DAMASCUS MD 20872

Robert Ravida
BOZZUTO CONDOS @ KENTLANDS
185 CHEVY CHASE ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Clarence Rogers
LAKELANDS HEATHWALK COND.
206-A HEATHWALK STREET
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Bob Rubenstein
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 1
201 HIGH GABLES DRIVE #307
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Troy Kennedy
QUINCE ORCHARD PARK
449 WINTER WALK DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Karen Feldman
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 5
300 HIGH GABLES DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Nelson LeRoy
SHADY GROVE VILLAGE II
4 SUPREME CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Mary Anne Clark
LAKEFOREST GLEN
1324 TRAVIS VIEW CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Keith Manley
WARTHER HOA
115 SHARPSTEAD LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Fran Monblatt
GATEWAYS COMMONS HOA
112 GOUCHER TER
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Drew Pallo
POTOMAC OAKS
706 QUINCE ORCHARD BLVD #101
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

John Pugh
BRIGHTON EAST CONDO III
266 WEST DEER PARK RD.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Alan Rosen
LONGDRAFT ESTATES
17017 SIOUX LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Mel Rushfield
KENTLANDS CONDO II
120 CHEVY CHASE ST #403
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Teresa Salsgiver
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 2
301 HIGH GABLES DR #402
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

JoAnn Schimke
WEST RIDING CITIZENS ASSN
734 TIFFANY CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Jeff Brown
LILAC GARDENS
9 PARK AVENUE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Dean Zuras
WASHINGTONIAN TOWNS
22 PONTIAC WAY
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

David Studley
HIDDEN ORCHARDS
716 BEACON HILL
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Mary Ann Sullivan
ORCHARD PLACE
7 NAPA VALLEY RD
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Theresa J Toliver
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO V
1092 WEST SIDE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Beverly Frey
LAKELANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION
520 MARKET STREET EAST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Wanda Warshaw
GATEWAY PARK
9730 WASHINGTONAIN BLVD
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Helen Woods
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO II
746 WEST SIDE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

David Sams
BRIGHTON EAST CONDO II
446 WEST DEER PARK RD.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Steven Schwartz
LAKELANDS MARKET ST.CONDO
256 B MARKET ST E.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Scott Sherman
EMORY WOODS HOA
9111 EMORY WOODS TER.
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Debbie Steinbrink
DORSEY ESTATES HOA
11539 SULLNICK WAY
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Michael Stumpf
COURTYARDS AT RIO
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Ted Blitgen
THE ORCHARDS HOA
15 TIMBER ROCK RD
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Alex Vadie
OLD CARRIAGE HILL
930 WILD FOREST DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Paul Waldron
COPPERFIELD CROSSING I
931 BEACON SQUARE CT. #24
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Brian Weiblinger
ORCHARD HILLS
147 APPLE BLOSSOM WAY
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Frances Winter
RELD A SQUARE HOA
2 GLAZEBROOK CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Abraham Schenider
KENTLANDS CONDO I
110 CHEVY CHASE ST #405
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Larry Seeger
FERNSHIRE FARMS
1015 BAYRIDGE TERRACE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Janice Young
SAYBROOKE
105 BOOKHAM LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Harry Stern
KENTLANDS CONDO IV
140 CHEVY CHASE ST #403
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Vijh Sujata
QUINCE ORCHARD PARK II CONDO ASSN
912 ORCHARD RIDGE DR. #100
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Suzanne Suraci
VISTAS AT WASHINGTONIAN
8911 6TH AVE 2ND FL
COLLEGE PARK MD 20740

Alex Mendez
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC III
16 NINA CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Ann Walsh
WOODLAND HILLS
104 KESTREL CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Sheila Schneider
KENTLANDS CRAFTSTAR CONDO/TOWNS
115 CHEVY CHASE ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20876

Stuart Dross
WOODS AT MUDDY BRANCH
326 ALDERWOOD DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Meredith Worthington
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO I
668 WEST SIDE DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Andrew Bove
DEER PARK PLACE
157 BRALAN LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Robert Fogel
BRIGHTON EAST CONDO I
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Mac Staples
KENTLANDS CITIZENS ASSEMBLY
% COMMUNITY MGMT CORP
11300 ROCKVILLE PIKE # 907
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Dale Roan
THE ORCHARDS HOA
% MAIN STREET PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Paula Covington
LAKELANDS COMMUNITY ASSN
% LAKELANDS COMMUNITY MGMT.
960 MAIN ST
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Robert Fogel
BRIGHTON EAST CONDOS II, III
% ABARIS REALTY INC.
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Claude Lumpkins
BRIGHTON WEST CONDO IV
% VISTA MANAGEMENT
1131 UNIVERSITY BLVD W # 101
SILVER SPRING MD 20902

Marty Deutsch
OAKS @ WASHINGTONIAN WOODS
CONDO
804 AMBER TREE CT #303
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Barbara Wagner
CEDAR VILLAGE CONDOS INC.
557 SUMMIT HALL RD
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Steve Schwartz
MARKET STREET CONDO
256 MAIN ST B
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Ryan Pustilnik
WINDBROOKE CONDO ASSN
43 WINDBROOKE CIRCLE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Lisa Franklin
LAKEFOREST GLEN
% PROCAM
14904 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
SILVER SPRING MD 20905

Barbara Jensen
DEER PARK PLACE
% QUANTUM REAL ESTATE MANGMT
5101 RIVER RD #101
BETHESDA MD 20816

Ruchita Patel
QUINCE ORCHARD PARK
% THE MANAGEMENT GRP
20440 CENTURY BLVD #100
GERMANTOWN MD 20874

Shireen Ambush
AMBERFIELD HOA INC
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Peggy Toland
AUDUBON SQUARE HOA INC
% COMMUNITY ASSN SVCS
P.O. BOX 1130
GERMANTOWN MD 20879

Jeff Kivitz
BOZZUTO CONDOS @ KENTLANDS
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Darcia Young
BRIGHTON WEST CONDOS I,II,III,V
% QUANTUM
5101 RRIVER RD # 101
ROCKVILLE MD 20816

Barry Vermeulen
CAROLANN COURTS CONDO
% PAUL ASSOC INC
6935 WISCONSIN AVE # 4
CHEVY CHASE MD 20815

Mike Potter
CEDAR VILLAGE
% MTM MGMT ASSOC
26223 RIDGE RD
DAMASCUS MD 20872

Theresa Nolan
COPPERFIELD CROSSING I
% AFFILIATED PROP MGMT
PO BOX 8205
GAITHERSBURG MD 20898-8205

Jeff Kivitz
COPPERFIELD CROSSING II
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Shireen Ambush
COURTYARDS AT RIO
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Glenn Loveland
CROSS GREEN CONDOS @ LAKELANDS
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Peggy Toland
DIAMOND FARM HOMES CORP
% COMM ASSN INC
PO BOX 1130
GERMANTOWN MD 20875-1130

Hank Jacob
EMORY WOODS HOA
% ALLIED REALTY
7605 ARLINGTON ROAD
BETHESDA MD 20874

Sandra Ewing
FERNSHIRE FARMS
% VANGUARD MGMT ASSOC
PO BOX 39
GERMANTOWN MD 20875-39

Peggy Toland
FOXWOOD HOA
% COMMUNITY ASSN INC
PO BOX 1130
GERMANTOWN MD 20875-1130

Lisa Franklin
FIRESIDE CONDO
% PROCAM
14904 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
SILVER SPRING MD 20905

Craig Chung
GATEWAY COMMONS HOA
% THE MANAGEMENT GRP
20440 CENTURY BLVD # 100
GERMANTOWN MD 20874

Shireen Ambush
GATEWAY PARK @ WASH CTR
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Dana Needle
HEATHWALK CONDOS
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Jeff Kivitz
KENTLANDS CONDOS I,II,III,IV,V
% MAIN STREET PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Jeff Kivitz
KENTLANDS CRAFTSTAR CONDO/TOWNS
% MAIN STREET PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878

Steve Philbin
KENTLANDS RIDGE CONDO INC
% COMMUNITY MNGMT CORP
11300 ROCKVILLE PIKE # 907
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Richard Skobel
KENTLANDS VIEW CONDO INC
% MAIN STREET PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Dana Needle
LAKELANDS HEATHWALK CONDO
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20832

Patty Krebeck
LAKELANDS MAIN ST. CONDO
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
16 EXECUTIVE PARK CT
GAITHERSBURG MD 20874

Dana Needle
LAKELANDS MARKET ST CONDO
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
GAITHERSBURG MD 20832

Shireen Ambush
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO I, II, III, IV
% ABARIS REALTY, INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Shireen Ambush
LAKELANDS RIDGE CONDO 5
% ABARIS REALTY, INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Shireen Ambush
LAKELANDS RIDGE HOA
% ABARIS REALTY, INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Jeff Kivitz
LILAC GARDENS CONDO
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Peggy Toland
MONTGOMERY MEADOWS
% COMMUNITY ASSOC INC
P.O. BOX 1130
GERMANTOWN MD 20875

Jeff Kivitz
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC I
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Elaine Ziemke
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC II
% THE MANAGEMENT GROUP
20440 CENTURY BLVD
GERMANTOWN MD 20874

Rick Hinton
NEWPORT ESTATES SEC III
% VISTA MGMT
1131 UNIVERSITY BLVD W
SILVER SPRING MD 20874

Dale Roan
NO. 8 RUSSELL AVE CONDO
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Mike Potter
OAKS AT WASHINGTONIAN WOODS
% MTM MGMT ASSOC
26223 RIDGE RD
DAMASCUS MD 20872

Ralph Caudle
ORCHARD GLEN
% IKO REAL ESTATE, INC
3403 OLANDWOOD CT, #1
OLNEY MD 20832

Jeff Kivitz
PARK SUMMIT CONDO
% MAIN ST. PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Jeanette Broadwater
PARK SUMMIT HOA
% VANGUARD MGMT ASSOC
P.O. BOX 39
GERMANTOWN MD 20875

Tony Martella
POTOMAC OAKS
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Richard Skobel
QUINCE ORCHARD PARK II CONDO ASSN
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Richard Skobel
SAYBROOKE
% MAIN ST PROP MGMT
9 PARK AVE
GAITHERSBURG MD 20877

Bruce Blumberg
SHADY GROVE III COMM ASSN INC
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Mike Potter
SHADY GROVE VILLAGE COMM COUNCIL
CORP
% M.T.M MGMT ASSOC
26223 RIDGE RD
DAMASCUS MD 20872

Ravi Parkhie
SHADY GROVE VILLAGE I CONDO ASSN
% THE MANAGEMENT GROUP
20440 CENTURY BLVD
GERMANTOWN MD 20874

Shireen Ambush
SHADY GROVE VILLAGE II CONDO
ABARIS REALTY, INC
12009 NEBEL STREET
ROCKVILLE MD 20905

Michael Eckloff
TIMBERBROOK CONDO ASSN
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Curt Rosenthal
VILLAGE OVERLOOK
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Curt Rosenthal
VILLAGE OVERLOOK IIA
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Curt Rosenthal
VILLAGE OVERLOOK IIB
% COMSOURCE MGMT INC
3414 MORNINGWOOD DR
OLNEY MD 20832

Ravi M. Parkhie
WARTHER HOMES ASSOC
%THE MANAGEMENT GROUP
20440 CENTURY BLVD
GERMANTOWN MD 20874

Shireen Ambush
WASHINGTONIAN TOWNS
% ABARIS REALTY INC
12009 NEBEL ST
ROCKVILLE MD 20852

Linda Wildman
WASHINGTONIAN WOODS
% COMMUNITY ASSN SERV INC
18401 WOODFIELD RD SUITE H
GAITHERSBURG MD 20879

Peggy Toland
WOODLAND HILLS
% COMMUNITY ASSOC INC
PO BOX 1130
GERMANTOWN MD 20875

Mike Potter
WOODS AT MUDDY BRANCH
% MTM MGMT ASSOC
26223 RIDGE RD
DAMASCUS MD 20872

Carla Johnson
BENNINGTON COM ASSN INC
29 GOODPORT LN
GAITHERSBURG MD 20878