

The attached e-mails were addressed to the City Planning Commission and received after the 5PM cutoff on July 28, 2016. All include in the body of the text the following:

“I am against the annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg. But as the annexation is currently in process at this time, I would like to voice my strong support that the binding restrictions stated by the Johnsons are implemented once it is under review by Gaithersburg City Planning Board and City Council.

- 1.) Maximum of 110 residential units.
- 2.) Maximum of 100,000 square feet of commercial space.
- 3.) 1 acre of Park space
- 4.) Additional adequate dedicated Parking lot for Park space visitors (not listed as a binding restriction but should be considered and included)

Although I am disappointed in the decisions by both the Montgomery County Planning Board and PHED Committee, I want to insure that there is accountability by the Johnsons and City of Gaithersburg to follow through with these binding restrictions as it moves to City of Gaithersburg hands. With the Johnson Property being surrounded nearly 90% by Montgomery County, I hope that you respect the opinions of those residents bordering this property and insure that the binding restrictions stay in effect -- now and in the long term future.”

From: [Bobbi Fulmer](#)
To: [Rob Robinson](#)
Subject: FW: Against the Johnson Property Annexation Plan
Date: Friday, July 29, 2016 8:49:40 AM

From: Lei Ding [mailto:karen.ding77@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 6:11 PM
To: Planning External Mailing
Cc: councilmember.katz@montgomerycountymd.gov
Subject: Against the Johnson Property Annexation Plan

Dear City of Gaithersburg Planning Commission,

I am a resident at 15700 Pissaro Terrace North Potomac MD 20878 and I am against the annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg. But as the annexation is currently in process at this time, I would like to voice my strong support that the binding restrictions stated by the Johnsons are implemented once it is under review by Gaithersburg City Planning Board and City Council.

- 1.) Maximum of 110 residential units.
- 2.) Maximum of 100,000 square feet of commercial space.
- 3.) 1 acre of Park space
- 4.) Additional adequate dedicated Parking lot for Park space visitors (not listed as a binding restriction but should be considered and included)

Although I am disappointed in the decisions by both the Montgomery County Planning Board and PHED Committee, I want to insure that there is accountability by the Johnsons and City of Gaithersburg to follow through with these binding restrictions as it moves to City of Gaithersburg hands. With the Johnson Property being surrounded nearly 90% by Montgomery County, I hope that you respect the opinions of those residents bordering this property and insure that the binding restrictions stay in effect -- now and in the long term future.

Sincerely,
Lei Ding

From: [Bobbi Fulmer](#)
To: [Rob Robinson](#)
Subject: FW: Annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg
Date: Friday, July 29, 2016 8:51:22 AM

From: Sarah Garwood [mailto:marylandwolf@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 8:40 PM
To: Planning External Mailing
Cc: councilmember.katz@montgomerycountymd.gov
Subject: Annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg

Dear City of Gaithersburg Planning Commission,

I am a resident at 12161 McDonald Chapel Drive and I am against the annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg. But as the annexation is currently in process at this time, I would like to voice my strong support that the binding restrictions stated by the Johnsons are implemented once it is under review by Gaithersburg City Planning Board and City Council.

- 1.) Maximum of 110 residential units.
- 2.) Maximum of 100,000 square feet of commercial space.
- 3.) 1 acre of Park space
- 4.) Additional adequate dedicated Parking lot for Park space visitors (not listed as a binding restriction but should be considered and included)

Although I am disappointed in the decisions by both the Montgomery County Planning Board and PHED Committee, I want to insure that there is accountability by the Johnsons and City of Gaithersburg to follow through with these binding restrictions as it moves to City of Gaithersburg hands. With the Johnson Property being surrounded nearly 90% by Montgomery County, I hope that you respect the opinions of those residents bordering this property and insure that the binding restrictions stay in effect -- now and in the long term future.

Sincerely,
Sarah Wolf Garwood

From: [Bobbi Fulmer](#)
To: [Rob Robinson](#)
Subject: FW: Johnson Property Annexation
Date: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 9:46:22 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Kaman_w [mailto:kaman_w@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, August 01, 2016 5:26 PM
To: Planning External Mailing
Cc: councilmember.katz@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov
Subject: Johnson Property Annexation

Dear City of Gaithersburg Planning Commission,

I am a resident at 16009 Copen Meadow Drive and I am against the annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg. But as the annexation is currently in process at this time, I would like to voice my strong support that the binding restrictions stated by the Johnsons are implemented once it is under review by Gaithersburg City Planning Board and City Council.

- 1.) Maximum of 110 residential units.
- 2.) Maximum of 100,000 square feet of commercial space.
- 3.) 1 acre of Park space
- 4.) Additional adequate dedicated Parking lot for Park space visitors (not listed as a binding restriction but should be considered and included)

Although I am disappointed in the decisions by both the Montgomery County Planning Board and PHED Committee, I want to insure that there is accountability by the Johnsons and City of Gaithersburg to follow through with these binding restrictions as it moves to City of Gaithersburg hands. With the Johnson Property being surrounded nearly 90% by Montgomery County, I hope that you respect the opinions of those residents bordering this property and insure that the binding restrictions stay in effect -- now and in the long term future.

Sincerely,
Kaman Lam

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Bobbi Fulmer](#)
To: [Rob Robinson](#)
Subject: FW: Johnson Property
Date: Friday, July 29, 2016 8:51:30 AM

From: garyctoiber@aol.com [mailto:garyctoiber@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 8:32 PM
To: Planning External Mailing
Subject: Johnson Property

Dear City of Gaithersburg Planning Commission,

I am a resident at Willow Ridge Community and I am against the annexation of the Johnson Property to City of Gaithersburg. But as the annexation is currently in process at this time, I would like to voice my strong support that the binding restrictions stated by the Johnsons are implemented once it is under review by Gaithersburg City Planning Board and City Council.

- 1.) Maximum of 110 residential units.
- 2.) Maximum of 100,000 square feet of commercial space.
- 3.) 1 acre of Park space
- 4.) Additional adequate dedicated Parking lot for Park space visitors (not listed as a binding restriction but should be considered and included)

Although I am disappointed in the decisions by both the Montgomery County Planning Board and PHED Committee, I want to insure that there is accountability by the Johnsons and City of Gaithersburg to follow through with these binding restrictions as it moves to City of Gaithersburg hands. With the Johnson Property being surrounded nearly 90% by Montgomery County, I hope that you respect the opinions of those residents bordering this property and insure that the binding restrictions stay in effect -- now and in the long term future.

Sincerely,
Gary Tober
301-792-3812

From: [Bobbi Fulmer](#)
To: [Rob Robinson](#)
Subject: FW: Johnson Property annexation
Date: Thursday, August 04, 2016 1:32:06 PM

From: Jeanette Nittany [mailto:nittanylionmomma@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2016 1:29 PM
To: Planning External Mailing
Subject: Johnson Property annexation

City Council members,

I'm nearly at a loss as to how to convey my opposition, yet once again, to the annexation of the Johnson property at Quince Orchard and Darnestown Roads. I've been to meetings at Quince Orchard High School and in Darnestown to hear about the proposed development. I've heard Russell Johnson's apologies...as well as seen him continue to maneuver around regulations meant to protect everyone. I've sent letters and e-mails.

I live in the Willow Ridge development (off 28, between Quince Orchard and Riffle Ford). Traffic is abysmal. The intersection of our development (Copen Meadow) onto 28 is the ONLY one of five consecutive intersections without a light. We don't even have a "safety" zone between east- and westbound traffic lanes on 28 to be able to pull half way out and wait for an opening in the opposite direction. Adding to that misery, our intersection, (the one without a light, remember), is the one between Quince Orchard Road and Riffle Ford where west bound traffic on 28 can make a U turn; not the one with the light, at the Safeway, but the one at Copen Meadow. Many people bound for Quince Orchard High School make U turns at "our" intersection, making it even more dangerous to make a left turn from Copen Meadow onto Darnestown Road.

Now Johnson wants to add hundreds of new housing units behind the Safeway! They, too, will add to the congestion and misery. None of this addresses the overcrowding of schools or the dangers to pedestrians or the expectation when we all moved into Willow Ridge that any future housing density on the Johnson property would be much lower than what is currently proposed.

Particularly in this, the silly season (a.k.a. the presidential election year), I'm even more skeptical than usual of politicians. We in Willow Ridge are NOT part of the city of Gaithersburg, cannot exercise our displeasure with votes by members of the council by voting them out, and acknowledge that annexation would be a win-win for the city (in the form of increased taxes for the city) and a lose-lose for the surrounding neighborhoods (traffic, pedestrian safety, school overcrowding).

Although you have no mandate to take my concerns into consideration, I hope you will do that.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Janota
12537 Fostoria Way

Mayor and City Council
X-7067-2015
139

Gaithersburg, MD 20878



August 5, 2016

Carmen Alianza-Javier
Advertising Sales Representative
Classified Legal Notices / Trustee Sale
The Washington Post

VIA EMAIL:
MariaCarmen.Alianza-Javier@washpost.com
legalnotices@washpost.com

Dear Ms. Alianza-Javier:

Please publish the following legal advertisement in the **AUGUST 25 and SEPTEMBER 1, 2016**, issues of the *Washington Post*.

Sincerely,

Rob Robinson III, Long Range Planning Manager
Planning and Code Administration

City of Gaithersburg # 1010122919

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Mayor and City Council of the City of Gaithersburg, Maryland, will conduct a public hearing on Annexation Petition X-7067-2015 on

**MONDAY
SEPTEMBER 19, 2016
AT 7:30 P.M.**

or as soon thereafter as this matter can be heard in the Council Chambers at 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The applicant requests to annex with an associated annexation plan the approximately 23 acre Johnson Properties located at 12201, 12251, 12301 and 12311 Darnestown Road (MD Route 28) in Gaithersburg, Maryland into the City of Gaithersburg and rezone the four parcels from the County's R-200 and NR 0.75 H 45 zones to the City of Gaithersburg's MXD Zone.

Mayor and City Council
X-7067-2015
140

City of Gaithersburg • 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-2038
301-258-6300 • FAX 301-948-6149 • cityhall@gaitthersburgmd.gov • gaitthersburgmd.gov

MAYOR
Jud Ashman

COUNCIL MEMBERS
Neil Harris
Henry F. Marraffa, Jr.
Michael A. Sesma
Ryan Spiegel
Robert T. Wu

CITY MANAGER
Tony Tomasello



Gaithersburg
A CHARACTER COUNTS! CITY

Further information may be obtained from the Planning and Code Administration Department at City Hall, 31 South Summit Avenue, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, or visit the City's website at www.gaithersburgmd.gov.

Rob Robinson, Long Range Planning Manager
Planning and Code Administration # 1194

City of Gaithersburg • 31 South Summit Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-2038
301-258-6300 • FAX 301-948-6149 • cityhall@gaithersburgmd.gov • gaithersburgmd.gov

MAYOR
Jud Ashman

COUNCIL MEMBERS
Neil Harris
Henry F. Marraffa, Jr.
Michael A. Sesma
Ryan Spiegel
Robert T. Wu

CITY MANAGER
Tony Tomasello